Exploiting the Reversible Covalent Bonding of Boronic Acids: Recognition, Sensing, and Assembly
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CONSPECTUS

Boronic acids can interact with Lewis bases to generate boronate anions, and they can also bind with diol units to form cyclic boronate esters. Boronic acid based receptor designs originated when Lorand and Edwards used the pH drop observed upon the addition of saccharides to boronic acids to determine their association constants. The inherent acidity of the boronic acid is enhanced when 1,2-, 1,3-, or 1,4-diols react with boronic acids to form cyclic boronic esters (5, 6, or 7 membered rings) in aqueous media, and these interactions form the cornerstone of diol-based receptors used in the construction of sensors and separation systems.

In addition, the recognition of saccharides through boronic acid complex (or boronic ester) formation often relies on an interaction between a Lewis acidic boronic acid and a Lewis base (proximal tertiary amine or anion). These properties of boronic acids have led to them being exploited in sensing and separation systems for anions (Lewis bases) and saccharides (diols).

The fast and stable bond formation between boronic acids and diols to form boronate esters can serve as the basis for forming reversible molecular assemblies. In spite of the stability of the boronate esters’ covalent B – O bonds, their formation is reversible under certain conditions or under the action of certain external stimuli.

The reversibility of boronate ester formation and Lewis acid base interactions has also resulted in the development and use of boronic acids within multicomponent systems. The dynamic covalent functionality of boronic acids with structure-directing potential has led researchers to develop a variety of self-organizing systems including macrocycles, cages, capsules, and polymers.

This Account gives an overview of research published about boronic acids over the last 5 years. We hope that this Account will inspire others to continue the work on boronic acids and reversible covalent chemistry.
1. Introduction
The origins of boronic acid based receptor design can be traced back to the seminal work of Lorand and Edwards. They used the pH drop observed on addition of saccharides to determine their association constants (Scheme 1). The acidity of the boronic acid is enhanced when 1,2-, 1,3-, or 1,4-diols react with boronic acids to form cyclic boronic esters (5, 6, or 7 membered rings) in aqueous media.

The recognition of saccharides through boronic acid complex (or boronic ester) formation often relies on an interaction between a Lewis acidic boronic acid and a proximal tertiary amine (Lewis base). The true nature of the nitrogen–boron (N–B) interaction has been much debated (especially in an aqueous environment), but it is clear that an interaction of some kind exists which offers two advantages. First, this interaction enhances binding at neutral pH (by facilitating tetrahedral boronate formation), allowing the development of receptors with practical applicability. Second, saccharide binding enhances the N–B interaction (due an increase in Lewis acidity of the boron on saccharide binding) and modulates the fluorescence of nearby fluorophores (fluorescent photo-induced electron transfer (PET) from the nitrogen is controlled by the strength of the N–B interaction), which is extremely useful in the design and application of chemosensors.

The Lewis acidic nature of boron has also lead to the development of anion receptors and sensors (Scheme 2). The fast and stable bond formation between boronic acids and diols to form boronate esters can also be utilized to build reversible molecular assemblies. In spite of the stability of the boronate esters’ covalent B–O bonds, their formation is reversible under certain conditions or under the action of certain external stimuli (Scheme 3).

2. Boronic Acids as Sensors
2.1. Anion Sensors. The first fluorescent sensor for fluoride was developed in 1998. Fluorescence quenching of a series of simple aromatic boronic acids was observed in buffered aqueous methanol solution at pH 5.5 upon addition of KF. Tetrahedral boronate anions had already been shown to quench the fluorescence of directly attached fluorophores, and the same internal charge transfer (ICT) mechanism was shown to operate upon fluoride binding. The $^{11}$B NMR spectroscopic observations of 1 and 2, displayed shifts consistent with a change from an sp$^2$ to sp$^3$ boron center as the concentration of fluoride was increased.

The amine of 3 has a $pK_a$ of 5.5, and so under the measurement conditions, the nitrogen is partially...
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protonated, allowing a hydrogen bonding interaction with a bound fluoride (4). This family of chemosensors serves to demonstrate the importance of tunability. Since different environments require monitoring across varying concentration ranges, only simple modifications need to be made in order to enhance binding without changing the mode of action.

In order to enhance fluoride binding, the use of a rigid framework as a scaffold for boronic acid based anion sensors was investigated. It was found that the bis[bora]calix[4]arene 5 acts as a sensor for tetra-n-butylammonium fluoride (Bu$_4$NF) in chloroform. Subsequently, in order to probe the factors affecting fluoride binding, related boronates 8 and 9 were prepared.

While fluoride caused deboronation of compound 9 and dramatic color changes, Bu$_4$NCl and Bu$_4$NBr produced no color change. However, Bu$_4$NCl caused fluorescence quenching of compound 9 but did not quench 8, or alcohols 6 and 7. Bu$_4$NBr did not cause a significant change in the fluorescence spectra of compounds 6–9. The fluorescence quenching by chloride has been attributed to bidentate binding through two BOH hydrogen bonds, with the conformational change in the fluorophore causing the fluorescence quenching.

Ditopic receptors 10a and 10b function as AND logic gates; the boronic acid interacts strongly with a fluoride anion while a potassium cation is held partly by the crown ether and by an electrostatic interaction with bound fluoride anion. This cooperative complexation allows the cationic and anionic guests to be bound to the host as an ion pair, while allowing the host to discriminate between potassium fluoride and other similar ion pairs such as potassium chloride and potassium bromide.

The bidentate fluoride receptor 11 was designed and synthesized (Scheme 4) that employs a boronic acid site and an imidazolium group. The ortho derivative (shown) was crucial, since only this isomer gave the desired selectivity. The C–H hydrogen bond donor stabilizes the binding, allowing recognition to occur in competitive media (Scheme 4).

2.2. Saccharide Sensors. The ICT sensor 12 reported by Czarnik and Yoon in 1992 consisted of a boronic acid fragment directly attached to anthracene. On addition of saccharide, it was noted that the intensity of the fluorescence emission for the 2-anthrylboronic acid 12 was reduced by ~30%. This change in fluorescence emission intensity is ascribed to the change in electronic properties that accompany rehybridization at boron. The 9-anthrylboronic isomer, 13, was also examined but displayed smaller changes in fluorescence emission, a feature attributed to the unfavorable peri-interactions that would be expected at the 9-position from the ancillary hydrogens.

These ICT sensors have one drawback for potential real world sensor development, which is pH sensitivity. In the
case of PET sensors, the interaction between o-methylphenylboronic acids (Lewis acids) and proximal tertiary amines (Lewis bases) has been exploited.

![Image](image1.png)

The first fluorescent PET sensor 14 for saccharides was developed in 1994, and it employs an N-methyl-o-(aminomethyl)phenylboronic acid receptor unit. The amino base–boronic acid (N–B) interaction found in sensor 14 allows the system to function as an “off–on” sensor, producing a large fluorescence enhancement on addition of saccharides, and functions over a broad pH range.

The simple fructose selective monoboronic acid based sensor 14 was improved in 1995 with the introduction of a second boronic acid group to form the diboronic acid sensor 15.12 This Receptor–Spacer–Fluorophore–Spacer–Receptor system retained the advantage of utilizing PET to modulate an “off–on” response to saccharides while introducing an advanced recognition site. The modification proved successful, and, fortuitously, the spacing of the two boronic acid groups provided an effective binding pocket for glucose.

2.3. Modular Fluorescent Sensors. While retaining the same dual boronic acid recognition units throughout, modular systems, in which the linker and fluorophore units of these sensors could be modified independently, have been developed. The design 16 includes two boronic acid groups required for selectivity but allows the separation between them to be varied by altering the linker. It also permits the fluorophore to be varied independently and by using only one fluorophore overcomes the problems that may arise from excimer emission, insolubility, excessive hydrophobicity, and steric crowding at the binding pocket.

A modular PET sensor 17 was prepared, containing two phenylboronic acid groups, a pyrene fluorophore and a variable linker. The linker was varied from n-propylene (n = 3) to n-octylene (n = 8). In most cases, the observed stability constants ($K_{obs}$) with diboronic acid sensor 17 are higher than those for monoboronic acid sensor 18. D-Glucose and D-galactose bind to diboronic acids readily using two sets of diols, thus forming stable, cyclic 1:1 complexes. The six carbon linker provided the optimal selectivity for glucose over other monosaccharides. However, there is an inversion in this selectivity on increasing the linker length to n-heptylene (n = 7) and n-octylene (n = 8) with the larger spacing between the two boronic acid groups producing a galactose selective system.

![Image](image2.png)

Molecular tweezer 19 was developed that selectively opens for certain saccharides.13 The fluorescence intensity at 377 nm of tweezer 19 increases with increasing concentration of D-glucose, D-fructose, D-galactose, and D-mannose, and fluorescence intensity changes at 470 nm differ among the four carbohydrates. The 470 nm band decreases with increasing D-glucose and D-mannose concentration. The intensity of the 470 nm band is invariant with added D-fructose. Finally, D-galactose shows an initial quenching of the 470 nm band at low concentrations followed by fluorescence recovery as the concentration increases. In the case of D-glucose, D-galactose, and D-mannose, the complex formed is a cyclic 1:1 structure (Scheme 5). This explains the quenching of the intramolecular excimer.
emission at 470 nm, since the binding of the saccharide separates the pyrene units. The more complex behavior of the sensor in the presence of \( \alpha \)-galactose indicates initial formation of a 1:1 complex at low concentration and subsequent formation of a 1:2 complex at higher concentration. For molecular tweezer 19 with \( \alpha \)-fructose, only the noncyclic 1:2 complex forms even at low saccharide concentration. (Scheme 5)

A convenient syntheses of fluorescent boronic acids using a Huisgen [3 + 2] cycloaddition to generate a “click fluor” 20 was developed,\(^{14}\) an approach well suited to modular syntheses. The so-called “click reaction” was used to form a 1,2,3-triazole from an azide and a terminal alkyne, this created a fluorescent sensor from nonfluorescent constituent units. The phrase “click-fluor” describes the generation of a fluorophore from nonfluorescent units via the so-called “click reaction”. Two of the most attractive features of “click-fluor” are that a fluorophore is generated when the triazole is formed and the wide availability of acetylene units facilitating potential diversity. Therefore, we believe that “click-fluor” will be particularly amenable to sensor array development.\(^{15}\)

More recently a ditopic fluorescence sensor for saccharides and mercury has been developed based on a boronic acid receptor and desulfurisation reaction (Scheme 6).\(^{16}\) The fluorescent output at 478 nm was significantly enhanced (>5-fold) in the presence of both Hg\(^{2+}\) and \( \alpha \)-fructose in pH 8.21 buffer. While a less intense enhancement (≈3-fold) was obtained on the addition of only Hg\(^{2+}\), an even lower enhancement (<2-fold) was observed for the addition of \( \alpha \)-fructose alone. The system can be construed as a dosimeter with AND logic functionality, in that it reports a HIGH output when two inputs are simultaneously applied.

2.4. Chiral Sensors. Chiral fluorescent boronic acid sensors have attracted much interest for a number of years.\(^{17–22}\) The first fluorescent chiral boronic acid sensor for glucose was prepared in 1995 (sensor 21). With sensor 21, the BINOL moiety performs the roles of fluorophore, scaffold, and the stereogenic center. More recently, the same BINOL-based chiral boronic acid sensors were used for enantioselective recognition of tartaric acids. However, the BINOL fluorophore emits UV light, whereas emission in the visible region is desired for routine applications. Furthermore, the fluorophore and the stereogenic centers of the BINOL-based chiral sensors are one and the same (the same is true for the chiral sensors 21–23), making it difficult to vary the fluorophores.
and binding sites to other units in order to optimize the molecular sensing performance of the sensors. To tackle the limitations of the BINOL system, anthracene based chiral boronic acid sensors, which produced visible emission and good chiral selectivity toward tartaric acid and sugar acids or sugar alcohols (sensor 22), were developed. More recently, the carbazole based boronic acids were devised 23–26 with the fluorophore as the electron donor of the photoinduced electron transfer (d-PET) and protonated amine/boronic acid moiety as the acceptor of the PET; the background emission for the sensor at acidic pH was much lower than that with normal PET sensors where the fluorophore is the acceptor (a-PET).17,23 However, the integrated carbazole fluorophores used in these sensors also restrict variation of the molecular scaffold.

The drawbacks of the BINOL, anthracene, and carbazole systems are that the fluorophore, the scaffold, and the chirogenic centers are integrated (sensors 21–23). Therefore, the selection of the fluorophore for chiral sensors is limited. Also, the PET efficiency of the d-PET boronic acid sensors using carbazole as the fluorophore is low; the emission enhancement (or the contrast ratio) is about 2.0-fold on switching the pH from acidic pH to neutral pH, while the a-PET sensor 22 has a much larger contrast ratio of around 10-fold.

Therefore, sensors 27 and 28 were devised, in which the fluorophore (phenothiazine) and the chirogenic centers (R- or S-α-benzylamine) are joined to a scaffold of 2-iodo-1,4-benzenedicarboxaldehyde.21 The rigid linker between the fluorophore and the boronic acid binding sites ensures that unwanted interactions between the binding sites and the fluorophore are avoided. Phenothiazine was selected as the fluorophore for d-PET because the chromophore is a strong electron donor. The contrast ratio obtained with the new sensors of 8.0 is significantly better than the carbazole-based d-PET fluorescent sensors.17–19 Enantioselective discrimination of D- and L-tartaric acid was achieved with these sensors, and the recognition of the analytes is dependent on the size of the binding pocket of the boronic acid sensors. The sensors were also used for the chemoselective discrimination of disaccharides (sucrose, lactose, and maltose) and glycosylated steroids (ginsenosides).

2.5. Dye Displacement Assay. Boronic acids in combination with (1,2-diol containing) alizarin red-S (ARS) have been used in competitive binding assays. Of particular note is the anion mediated enhancement of alizarin red binding to boronic acids used to determine the presence and amount of analyte anions in a given system.24 For example, when a boronic acid and a zinc complex are combined in one molecule to create a pyrophosphate sensor that binds the fluorescent molecule ARS in the absence of phosphate (and displays reduced fluorescence as a result). Upon exposure to PPI, ARS becomes part of a now highly fluorogenic unit, and the fluorescence increases (Scheme 7).24

Hydrogel spheres, 5 mm in diameter, incorporating phenylboronic acid functionality were exposed to ARS dye, and
the corresponding boronic ester was formed. Once excess dye had been removed by washing, the spheres were exposed to an analyte diol (fructose, for example), releasing the dye into solution (as represented in Scheme 8). To demonstrate the hydrogel displacement assay, the relative amounts of boron binding species (saccharides) in samples of fruit juices were determined.25

A hypsochromic shift is indicative of ARS binding to boron; ARS develops an orange color on binding to boron. Comparing 10/10/1.5 mm3 gel slabs with and without boron, Figure 1 right and center, respectively (a boron containing gel prior to exposure to ARS is shown for comparison, left), it is possible to observe the color difference.

The dye displacement assay has also been used to evaluate biocompatible polymers as potential drug delivery conjugates.26 Boronic acid terminated PLA (BA-PLA) was prepared and the reversible formation of a well-defined fluorescent ARS-PLA conjugate was used to follow the binding of a range of hydroxyl-containing species to the BA-PLA polymer. Displacement of the ARS and selectivity for diols over simple alcohols was observed. The potential for formation of strong complexes between BA-PLA and hydroxyl-containing therapeutic agents suggests a versatile and highly specific route to quantitative polymer/drug conjugates and nanoconjugates, which have become a key target as drug delivery vehicles.

2.6. Cation–π Interactions. Recently, it has been demonstrated that pyridinium cation–π interactions can be evaluated using fluorescence spectroscopy.27–29 Since pyridinium boronic acids have already been used to prepare a saccharide sensor,30 the next logical step was to combine cation–π interactions and pyridinium boronic acids into one construct for diol detection. A simple propylene (Leonard Linker) linked phenyl alkyl pyridinium boronic acid showed characteristic cation–π stacking exciplex fluorescence.31 Of the anions investigated, the most intense fluorescence response came from the boronic acid ion pair with the most diffuse negative charge (e.g., boronic acid, Scheme 9, X = PF6). The relative fluorescence intensity (PF6 > Br > Cl > F) may be interpreted as the tighter the ion pair the weaker the fluorescence, so for a ‘turn on’ sensor it is better to start with a low fluorescence (tight pair) situation that has the potential to undergo fluorescence enhancement upon interaction with a diol analyte.

Indeed, for the sensing regime depicted in Scheme 9 when X = PF6, no fluorescence change was observed; essentially, the starting position was already at maximum fluorescence. The best ‘fluorescence on’ response for pinaocol was observed when chloride was used as the counter-anion (X = Cl).

2.7. Electrochemical Methods. Boronic acid functionality has been employed in electrochemical sensing systems predominantly based on direct effects of analyte binding on current and/or potential responses in voltammetric experiments. In a recent review, the role of phenylboronic acids, in particular, for electrochemical sugar sensing, was highlighted.32 Broadly, electrochemical assays employing boronic acids can be divided into solution phase processes and surface immobilized processes.

2.7.1. Solution Processes. Most widely studied are soluble ferrocenylboronic acid redox probes which were first synthesized by Nesmeyanov and have been shown to allow direct electrochemical saccharide sensing in aqueous media. A typical differential pulse voltammetry solution essay for sorbitol binding is shown in Figure 2. Ferrocenylboronic acids suitable for sensing glucose have been prepared, and
chiral ferrocenylboronic acids have been reported for chiral electroanalysis.33

2.7.2. Surface Immobilized Processes. Due to electrochemical processes fundamentally being heterogeneous in nature, much more sensitive and probably more selective sensing processes can occur directly at the electrode/solution interface. Amphiphilic N-hexadecyl-pyridinium-4-boronic acid cations have been self-assembled into a monolayer at graphite electrodes.34 Figure 3 shows the effect of binding ortho-quinols to the modified graphite surface. The area under process P2 is consistent with the amount of immobilized boronic acid, and typical binding constants for a range of ortho-quinols suggest selectivity for the hydrophobic alizarin red-S. The self-assembly of boronic acid dendrimers with nanocellulose whiskers has also been investigated.35

Finally, in recent studies on biphasic redox systems, microdroplet deposits of water-insoluble organic liquids at electrode surfaces have been employed with dissolved boronic acids. In this liquid–liquid system, highly hydrophobic naphthalenyl and anthracenyl derivatives of boronic acids are dissolved in microdroplets of 4-(3-phenylpropyl)-pyridine solvent (see Figure 4).

An additional redox system such as tetr phenylporphyrinatomanganese(II/III) then allows anions to be actively transferred from aqueous to organic phase with...
selectivity for anions with affinity for boronic acids. The transfer of carbonate and bicarbonate\textsuperscript{36} as well as the transfer of $\alpha$-hydroxycarboxylates have been reported.\textsuperscript{30} Here, the selectivity of the boronic acid is combined with the selectivity effect introduced by the Gibbs energy of anion transfer from the aqueous into the organic phase. In future, these biphasic redox systems with boronic acids could potentially be incorporated into hydrophobic membranes for analytical and also for separation purposes.

2.8. Fluorophore Quencher Interactions. A new signaling regime was conceived whereby a fluorescent boronic acid, that when exposed to an analyte diol, appended with a quencher, would reduce the fluorescence output of the system due to the formation of a static fluorophore–quencher pair. Thus, signaling the presence of the diol appended quencher (Figure 5).

A fluorescein boronic acid derivative was simply prepared from commercially available materials in order to function as the fluorescent partner, and a series of methyl red inspired diols were synthesized as potential boronate

\begin{figure}[h]
\centering
\includegraphics[width=\textwidth]{figure2.png}
\caption{Binding of a diol to ferrocene boronic acid and differential pulse voltammetry data set for sorbitol binding associated with a shift in reversible potential.}
\end{figure}

\begin{figure}[h]
\centering
\includegraphics[width=\textwidth]{figure3.png}
\caption{Voltammetric responses (scan rate 0.1 V s\textsuperscript{-1}) for the oxidation of dopamine at a 4.9 mm diameter graphite electrode with a 1 nmol $N$-hexadecyl-pyridinium-4-boronic acid hexafluorophosphate deposit immersed in aqueous 0.1 M phosphate buffer containing (i) $1 \times 10^{-5}$, (ii) $2 \times 10^{-5}$, (iii) $3 \times 10^{-5}$, (iv) $1 \times 10^{-4}$, (v) $2 \times 10^{-4}$, (vi) $5 \times 10^{-4}$, and (vii) $1 \times 10^{-3}$ mol dm\textsuperscript{-3} dopamine. The schematic drawing shows the binding of alizarin red-S, and the table summarizes binding constants.}
\end{figure}

\begin{table}
\centering
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline
Compound & $K$ (mol dm\textsuperscript{-3}) \\
\hline
Catechol & 84000 \\
Caffeic acid & 75000 \\
Dopamine & 10000 \\
L-Dopa & 8000 \\
Alizarin red S & 140000 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\end{table}
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A detailed study of the combination of fluorescein boronic acid with diol appended quenchers 29a–c and comparison with the fluorescence outputs of nonboron or nondiol containing systems (i.e., fluorescein or methyl red were employed directly) revealed boronate ester formation does indeed result in a quenching enhancement in each case, and that compound 29c was the best overall quencher based on the ratiometric quenching enhancement between the non-binding dynamic systems versus the boronate forming static systems.37 Utilizing a boronic acid receptor to catch quencher analytes is a generic sensing format, schematically illustrated in Figure 7.

FIGURE 6. Fluorescein boronic acid derivative, three diol-appended quenchers, and a representation of the FRET quenching interaction.

In order to assemble a sensor construct at a gold–streptavidin surface, the molecule FLAB (Fluorophore Linker boronic Acid Biotin) was prepared. The design incorporated a terminal biotin for attachment to surface bound streptavidin, a boronic acid receptor and a fluorophore (Alexa Fluor 647, Invitrogen, exmax 647 nm). The quencher–diol conjugate was prepared utilizing a quencher for Alexa Fluor 647, BHQ-3 (Biosearch Tech).38 Attachment of FLAB to a streptavidin-appended gold surface was confirmed by both SPR and concomitant fluorescence (f-SPR). Exposure of the surface prepared to BHQ-diol gave rise to both fluorescence quenching and an SPR response, demonstrating the potential for the dual techniques of SPR and fluorescence to work in unison in a sensor regime under the guise of f-SPR.

FIGURE 5. Fluorophore appended boronic acid interacting with a diol-appended quencher.
Taking advantage of biotin appended sensors in other scenarios directly led to another model system, using microscale avidin appended polystyrene microspheres (Bang Laboratories) (Figure 8). These microspheres could be functionalized with FLAB fluorescein, as demonstrated by fluorescence microscopy.39

3. Electrophoresis
Polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis exploits hydrogel polymers to separate molecules on a size and charge basis. Among the useful separations of biomolecules that electrophoresis is commonly employed for is a technique for the separation of carbohydrates called FACE (Fluorophore Assisted Carbohydrate Electrophoresis). However, the FACE technique requires the labeling of analytes with a fluorophore, does not separate saccharides of similar size and charge particularly well, and is limited to reducing sugars.

In order to address the need to provide a separation tool for similar mass saccharides, Boron Affinity Saccharide Electrophoresis (BASE) was developed.40 Hydrogels could be prepared that contained ortho, meta, and para boronic acids although solubility allowed up to about 3% reliable incorporation, but this was more than enough to obtain excellent results in electrophoresis. For the majority of investigations, the meta derivative was preferred due to its overall higher synthetic yield. In comparison to the para derivative, no differences were observed in terms of electrophoresis applications; however, the ortho derivative gave less effective separation (analyte mobility was more facile) and was prone to degradation.

While FACE performs poorly in separating a series of 2-AMAC labeled saccharides, the BASE system induces dramatic mobility differences among the saccharides investigated. In the BASE gel, previously inseparable saccharides are now clearly resolved, even though in some cases resolution is not perfect the modulated mobility achieved as a function of reversible boron diol interactions within a hydrogel domain opened the door to a range of new applications, not only in electrophoresis,41 but also for applications such as hydrogel sensors mentioned earlier.25

BASE was next employed in the detection of a gluconolactone modification of a protein that had been shown by van den Elsen to inhibit the innate immune system and is under development as a therapy for complement mediated acute inflammatory diseases. Purified protein was exposed to gluconolactone, and its electrophoretic analysis was performed at various time intervals by standard polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis (PAGE) and protein BASE (coined Pro-BASE or mP-AGE); see Figure 9. For protein incubation with gluconolactone, Pro-BASE reveals a new band which was almost indistinguishable from the main band by a normal electrophoresis experiment.

4. Boronic Acids as Building Blocks for Self Assembly
Despite the stability of boronate ester covalent B–O bonds, their formation is reversible under certain conditions or
under the action of certain external chemical stimuli. The reversible nature of boronate formation enables reversible molecular assembly. The reaction of 2-formyl-aryl-boronic acids with 1,2-amino alcohols results in dynamic covalent self-assembly to quantitatively afford macrocyclic Schiff base boracycles containing bridging boron–oxygen–boron functionality (Scheme 10).  

A similar self-assembly protocol with chiral constituents provided a robust probe for enantiomeric excess of either chiral amines or chiral diols by NMR spectroscopy, electrochemical, and circular dichroism (CD) methods (Scheme 11).  

Boronate esterification can also be utilized in the construction of capsule structures which display three-dimensional cavities, such as the recently reported ion-pair-driven heterodimERIC capsule formation.  

The system consists of cyclotri catechylene and a boronic-acid-appended hexahomotrioxacalix[3]arene. The two components do not interact with each other until Et₄NOAc is added to the solution. On addition of Et₄NOAc, quantitative capsule formation by boronate esterification is observed. The self-assembly process is a direct result of anion directed boronate ester formation and the presence of the Et₃N⁺ template. A similar capsule was also formed when Et₃N was added to a methanolic solution containing cyclotri catechylene and the boronic acid-appended hexahomotrioxacalix[3]arene. The reaction involves the initial formation of a capsule consisting of cyclotri catechylene, the boronic acid and three amines; subsequent solvolysis results in encapsulation of Et₃NH⁺ within the capsule. When the larger Bu₃N was used to trigger capsule formation, solvolysis in the presence of guests such as Et₄N⁺, Me₄N⁺, Me₄P⁺, and Cs⁺ results in encapsulation of these guests rather than the bulky Bu₃NH⁺ (Scheme 12).
5. Conclusions

This is a personal perspective on the use of boronic acid based receptors and focuses on papers and reviews published over the last 5 years. While assembling this Account, it was pleasing to note that while some areas of research have faded, many new and exciting areas have developed. More importantly, from a personal perspective, the science of boronic acid receptors is as exciting now as it has ever been. It is hoped that this Account will inspire others to explore some of the yet uncharted regions of reversible covalent chemistry of boronic acids to even more exciting discoveries.
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BIOGRAPHICAL INFORMATION

Steven D. Bull is a Reader at the University of Bath. His research interests include Asymmetric Synthesis, Combinatorial Chemistry, and Biocatalysis.

Matthew G. Davidson is a Professor at the University of Bath. His research interests include Structure and Bonding and Catalysts for Organic Transformations.

Jean M. H. van den Elsen is a Reader in Molecular Structure and Function in the Department of Biology and Biochemistry at the University of Bath. His research interests include carbohydrate modifications in proteins and the development of proteomics tools.
Reversible Covalent Bonding of Boronic Acids

John S. Fossey is a lecturer and Royal Society Industry Fellow at the University of Birmingham and is working on projects that exploit molecular recognition in catalysis and sensing.

A. Toby A. Jenkins is Reader in Biophysical Chemistry at the University of Bath. His research interests include electrochemical and optical sensing for clinical microbiology and studies of the abiotic–biotic interface.

Yun-Bao Jiang is a Professor at the Department of Chemistry in Xiamen University. His research focuses on photophysics of electron/proton transfer and supramolecular chemical sensing and molecular recognition.

Frank Marken is a Professor at the Department of Chemistry, University of Bath. Research interests are both fundamental and applied, looking at mechanistic understanding of interfacial processes and the development of novel electrochemical technologies.

Kazuo Sakurai is a Professor at the Department of Chemistry and Biochemistry in University of Kitakyushu. His research interests focus on molecular systems based on self-assembly and the development of novel electrochemical technologies.

Jianzhang Zhao is a Professor at the Department of Fine Chemicals, School of Chemical Engineering in Dalian University of Technology. His research interests include the preparation of Click-fluors and their application to gene delivery.

Tony D. James is a Professor at the University of Bath. His research interests include Molecular Recognition, Molecular Assemblies, and Sensor Design.

REFERENCES

FOOTNOTES

*To whom correspondence should be addressed.

The authors declare no competing financial interest.

This Account is dedicated to J. Grant Buchanan, a Great Scholar, Educator, and above all Friend. Your endless enthusiasm and quest for knowledge will be sadly missed.


Reversible Covalent Bonding of Boronic Acids

Bull et al.


